Characterization of the Buried Channel n-MOST Source Followers

in CMOS Image Sensors

Xinyang Wang, Padmakumar R. Rao, Albert J.P. Theuwissen Delft University of Technology, Delft, the Netherlands

ABSTRACT

The performance of CMOS image sensors in a deep sub-micron CMOS process is limited by two factors: 1) The increasing pixel temporal noise floor dominated by the 1/f noise from the pixel source follower (SF) and 2) The decreasing pixel analog swing due to the supply voltage scaling. In this paper, we present the possibility of using a buried channel n-MOST as the in-pixel source follower to reduce noise and enhance the pixel analog swing.

INTRODUCTION

Recent research has proved that the 1/f noise induced by traps located in the silicon silicon-oxide interface of SF gate region becomes dominating in the pixel read noise floor of CMOS image sensors. [1, 2] In modern CMOS processes, the gate area becomes so small; it is possible that there is only one active interface trap, which induces the so call "random telegraph signal" (RTS) noise as shown in Fig 1. Because of the single electron trapping and detrapping during operation, the pixel output after the correlated double sampling (CDS) produces three discrete levels. Our recent study found that this onetrap-induced RTS noise still dominates the pixel temporal noise. [3, 4] Therefore, if an absolute clean gate interface can not be guaranteed, the 1/f or RTS noise will stay dominant.

Previous research showed also that the 1/f noise of p-MOSTs is generally smaller than n-MOSTs because of their natural buried channel. [5,6] Therefore, a very straightforward solution to reduce the 1/f noise is to "bury" the conducting current, i.e. creating a buried channel n-MOST source follower in modern CMOS imager process.

The sensor's saturation level, therefore the dynamic range, is determined by the photodiode full well capacity, conversion gain and the maximum pixel output swing. The absolute output swing can be determined by the following equation:

$$V_{swing} = V_{rst} - V_{FD} - V_{SFth} - V_{col}$$

From which, V_{rst} is floating diffusion (FD) voltage after reset, which is one threshold drop from the pixel power supply regardless the reset mode, V_{FD} stands



Figure 1. Histogram of dark temporal noise of a RTS pixel

for the voltage drop due to FD dark current, V_{SFth} is the threshold drop across the SF, V_{col} is the minimum voltage required at the column to bias the current source. The pixel power supply scales with the process. Therefore, the output swing decreases because of the decreasing Vrst. Because the buried channel n-MOSTs are depletion mode devices with negative V_{SFth} , the output swing will therefore be enhanced.

SIMULATION STUDY

Fig 2 plots the cross-section of the buried channel n-MOST device simulated by SUPREM. The original simulation files are supplied by TSMC, describing the standard fabrication steps of an in-pixel SF in 0.18µm CMOS process. An extra implantation step is added to create buried channel n-MOST.



Figure 2. Simulated buried channel n-MOST in TSMC 0.18um CMOS process, the dashed line is the boundary of the depletion region.

As shown in the Fig 2, a fully-depleted gate interface can be achieved at zero volt gate bias condition. The max channel potential and buried depth are determined by the doping energy and dose.

Test structures have been fabricated in TSMC 0.18µm CMOS process with five different buried channel implant energy and dose combinations. Fig 3 is a comparison of the simulated and the measured gate characteristic of the buried channel transistors. As expected, increasing the implantation dose will shift the transistor threshold voltage towards negative. As shown, the extracted threshold voltages are less negative than the simulated ones; the difference is bigger for higher implant doses. Increasing implantation energy will slightly increase the channel depth, however, with the penalty of a large leakage current. Therefore, the implantation dose and energy need to be adjusted carefully to obtain an optimized channel depth and threshold voltage.



Figure 3. Simulation and measurement of the gate characteristic for different buried channel n-MOSTs, with same implant energy but different doses

MEASUREMENT RESULTS

Both single transistors and pixel test structures were fabricated for measurements and characterizations. Fig 4 is the schematic of the pixel test structure and the column bias circuitry used to measure the pixel output swing. The pixel is a standard pinned 4T design without the row select transistor.

Fig 5 plots the measurement results of the absolute pixel output swing. During the measurement, the reset transistor (RST) gate is tied to the highest voltage of the pixel, i.e. performing hard reset, and the transfer gate is switched off. Therefore, the FD voltage equals the reset transistor power supply. The column bias current is 6uA for all pixels. As shown, because of pixel output swing improves drastically.



Figure 4. Pixel schematic and column bias circuitry with buried source follower (BSF), reset transistor (RST), transfer gate (TG), pinned photodiode (PPD) and separated power supply to the BSF (Vdd_bsf) and RST (Vdd_rst)



Figure 5. Measurement of the absolute pixel output swing, pixels with standard SF and BSF

Interestingly, from Fig 5, we are also able to observe an improved voltage gain for buried channel device, (>0.9) compare to the standard surface mode device (0.83).

Fig 6 is the 1/f noise measurement setup for single transistors. The DUT is biased as the source follower operation with the drain tied to 3.3V and 6uA conducting current. The gate of the DUT is biased by a normal DC source with a 1Hz filter in order to block all AC components. The drain is tied to a battery power supply. The conducting current is compensated by a battery powered current source. The test devices and the battery are in a sealed box. Fig 7 plots the measured 1/f noise power spectrum density of a surface and a buried channel test device with the same dimensions. We find that the slope of the curves for buried channel devices are normally between -0.6 and -0.8, which indicates a different noise mechanism from the surface origin of the standard n-MOSTs. As shown, the low frequency noise of the buried channel device is significantly



Figure 6. 1/f noise measurement setup for buried / surface channel n-MOSTs under source follower bias condition

reduced. However, the 1/f dominated read noise of CMOS imagers is the product of the 1/f PSD and the CDS transfer function. Therefore, the noise reduction efficiency highly depends on the CDS period as well as the frequency of the crossing point is Fig 7.

Complete image sensor with BSFs was made. The pixel pitch is 7.4 μ m, with power supply of 3.3V. Fig 8 is the dark random noise measurement histogram. The random noise of each pixel is taken by calculating the standard deviation of the pixel outputs through 20 frames. The measurement is done in complete dark. In order to exclude the dark current shot noise effect, the transfer gate is switched off during two sample and hold periods of the CDS.



Figure 7. 1/f noise measurement for surface/buried n-MOSTs

The histogram is plotted in linear scale in order to highlight the noise improvement of the majority pixels instead of those "hot" ones. As shown, both the mean and the σ value of BSF pixels' noise are reduced.

CONCLUSIONS



Figure 8.Dark random noise histogram for buried/surface SF pixels

Buried channel n-MOSTs are successfully made through deep sub-micron CMOS process and being characterized regarding their source follower application in CMOS image sensor pixels Because of a 'buried' conducting current, the following advantages are reported from the our measurement and characterizations: 1) 1/f noise is significantly reduced. 2) The pixel output swing is enhanced drastically. 3) The SF voltage gain is increased.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors would like to thank the CMOS imager group of DALSA BV for their helps on designing the test sensor, and TSMC for their generous offer to make this research possible. This work is sponsored by the Dutch Technology Foundation (STW).

REFERENCES

[1] Bedabrata Pain et.al. "Excess noise and dark current mechanisms in CMOS imagers", pp145-148, IEEE Workshop on CCDs & AIS, June 2005

[2] Jung Yeon Kim et.al., "Characterization and improvement of random noise in 1/3.2" UXGA CMOS image sensor with 2.8um pixel using 0.13technology" pp149-152, IEEE Workshop on CCDs & AIS, June 2005

[3] Xinyang Wang et.al. "Random telegraph signal in CMOS image sensor pixels", pp115-118, IEDM, Dec 2006

[4] Leyris C et.al. "Impact of random telegraph signal in CMOS image sensors for low-light levels", pp276-379, ESSCIRC, Sep 2006.

[5] L.L.J.Vandamme et.al, "1/f noise in MOS devices, mobility or number fluctuations" IEEE Trans on Electron Device, Vol. 41, pp1936-1945, Nov, 1994

[6] Jimmin Chang et.al, "Flicker Noise in CMOS Transistors from subthreshold to strong inversion at various temperatures" IEEE Trans on Electron Device, Vol. 41, pp1965-1971, Nov 1994